to be incurred, it should not be grudged as the measure will be of great benefit to the public.

Mr. A. Ramanna.—The scheme should not end in the appointment of Sub-Magistrates. The system has proved a failure in Madras. Munsiff Magistrates may be appointed. Appeals should lie to Sub-Judges. Some of the Munsiffs may also be appointed First Class Magistrates. Appeals from First Class Magistrates should lie to Sessions Judges. The number of Assistant Commissioners may be reduced and the savings may be utilised to meet the extra cost.

Mr. Balakrishna Rao.—Stationary Magistrates with high salaries may be appointed for two or three taluks. Munsiffs should not be appointed Magistrates. There is no objection to Assistant Commissioners having magisterial powers. Objection is to the combination of Police and Judicial powers. Amildars may be divested of magisterial powers.

Mr. C. Vasudeva Rao.—Magistrates may be appointed for groups of two taluks and Amildars may be similarly appointed for revenue and other work.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 16.

Copying paper.

Messrs. (1) S. Suryanarayana Setty and P. Suryanarayana Setty, Arkalgud Taluk, Sura Sastry, Chikballapur Taluk; Surappa, Bangalore Taluk, H. Nanjundayya, Bangalore Law Association, Adinarayanayya, Doddballapur Taluk, Puttanna, Doddballapur, Municipality, C. R. Nanjundayya and A. Gundappa, Channapatna Taluk, and Viswanatha Setti, Channapatna Municipality, and (2) Singri Nanjappa, Tiptur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(1) The price of copying paper is high and it has not enough space for the number of words which could be copied according to the old rules. The price of the copying paper may be reduced and both sides of the paper may be allowed to be written upon.

(2) The value of a sheet may be reduced to one anna.

Reply of Government.—The matter requires further consideration.

SUBJECT No. 17.

Improvement of the efficiency and morale of the Police force.

Messrs. B. Narasinga Rao and V. Rangaswami Iyengar, Seringapatam Taluk; Vamana Rao, Nagar Taluk and R. Gopalaswamy Iyer, Bangalore District Board, Katte Channakeshaviah, Siddappa and Shankarappa, Tirthahalli Taluk.

Note.—Not taken up for discussion.

SUBJECT No. 18.

First Appellate Court for the Bangalore Division.

Mr. Sura Sastri, Chikballapur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—As great inconvenience is now experienced by the civil litigants for want of a First Appellate Court for the districts of Kolar, Tumkur and Bangalore, the District Court of the Bangalore Division may be invested with the necessary powers.

Under the present arrangement, parties are put to great expenditure in the shape of costs. Moreover, when the other two District Courts have appellate powers, it is